



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,550	03/04/2002	Baiju Shah	33836000019	7097
30498	7590	06/22/2006	EXAMINER	
ACCENTURE C/O VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ, P.C. 222 NORTH LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601			CHEUNG, MARY DA ZHI WANG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/090,550	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHAH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Mary Cheung	<b>Art Unit</b> 3621	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-78 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-42 and 52-78 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 and 43-51 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |                                                                                                                        |                                                                                         |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                                       | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                                |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Status of the Claims***

1. This action is in response to the RCE filed on April 14, 2006. Claims 1-78 are pending. Claims 1-23 and 43-51 are elected and are examined. Claims 24-42 and 52-78 are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1, 8, 43 and 46 are amended.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. Applicant's arguments filed on April 14, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the applicant's arguments that Fera (WO 01/015001 A2) fails to teach the newly added limitation "the services registry lists available services for the object", examiner respectfully disagrees. This limitation is taught by Fera as the data center generates messages include information regarding services are available for the identified problem/object, and parts inventory that may be important to any decision regarding a maintenance recommendation, which the data center corresponds to the service registry of the claimed limitation (page 8 lines 9-22 and page 9 lines 6-16).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3621

4. Claims 1-23 and 43-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fera et al., WO 01/015001 A2.

As to claim 1, Fera teaches in a content bank system comprising at least one digital identity instance representative of at least one object (page 5 lines 8-14), a method for adding object-related information regarding an object of the at least one object to the content bank system, the method comprising (page 4 lines 16-21 and page 5 lines 15-19):

- (a) Receiving from a source by a digital identity instance corresponding to the object, the object related information targeted to a service (page 5 lines 9-21 and page 8 lines 4-10);
- (b) Determining, via a services registry associated with the digital identity instance, whether the service targeted by the object-related information exists, wherein the services registry lists available services for the object (page 8 lines 9-22 and page 9 lines 6-16);
- (c) When the service targeted by the object-related information exists, sending the object-related information to the service (page 14 lines 3-11 and Fig. 5);
- (d) Processing the object-related information by the service such that the object-related information is subsequently available via the content bank system (page 14 lines 12-22).

As to claims 2-3, Fera teaches the object-related information is received via at least one access mode of multi-mode interface available to the digital identity instance (Fig. 1).

As to claim 4, Fera teaches determining, via the service registry, location information regarding the service and sending the object-related information to the service based on the location information (page 14 lines 3-11 and Fig. 5).

As to claim 5, Fera teaches the location information corresponds to another content bank system (page 14 lines 3-11 and Fig. 5).

As to claims 6, 10 and 14, Fera teaches the source of the object-related information or the third party or the other third party is any of: the object, another content bank system, another object, a manufacturer of the object, an owner of the object, and a provider of service to the object (page 5 lines 19-21 and page 8 lines 4-10).

As to claim 7, Fera teaches verifying access rights of the source to provide the object-related information associated with object to the content bank system (page 10 lines 1-15).

As to claim 8, Fera teaches in a content bank system comprising at least one digital identity instance representative of at least one object (page 5 lines 8-14), a method for providing object-related information regarding an object of the at least one object to a third party, the method comprising (page 14 lines 3-11 and Fig. 5):

(a) Determining by a digital identity instance corresponding to the object, the object related information should be provided to the third party (page 14 lines 3-11 and Fig. 5);

(b) Determining, via a services registry associated with the digital identity instance, whether a service associated with the object-related information exists,

wherein the services registry lists available services for the object (page 8 lines 9-22 and page 9 lines 6-16);

(e) When the service associated with the object-related information exists, accessing the object-related information via the service (page 14 lines 3-11 and Fig. 5);

(f) Sending, by the service, the object-related information to the third party (page 14 lines 3-22 and Fig. 5).

As to claim 9, Fera teaches receiving a request for the object-related information from the third party, wherein the request specifies the third party as the destination for the object-related information (Fig. 9).

As to claims 11-12, Fera teaches the request is received from the third party via at least one access mode of multi-mode interface available to the digital identity instance (Fig. 1).

As to claim 13, Fera teaches receiving a request for the object-related information from another third party, wherein the request specifies the third party as destination for the object related information (Fig. 9).

As to claims 15-16, Fera teaches the request is received from the other third party via at least one access mode of multi-mode interface available to the digital identity instance (Fig. 1).

As to claims 17-18, Fera teaches detecting a condition requiring the object-related information to be pushed to the third party (page 8 lines 4-15 and page 14 lines 3-11 and Fig. 5).

As to claims 19-20, Fera teaches determining via the services registry, location information regarding the service; and accessing the object-related information via the service based on the location (page 14 lines 3-11 and Fig. 9).

As to claim 21, Fera teaches verifying access rights of the third party to the object-related information; sending the additional object-related information to the third party when the third party possesses access rights to the object-related information associated with object to the content bank system (page 10 lines 1-15 and page 14 lines 3-11).

As to claim 22, Fera teaches prior to sending the object-related information to the third party, processing the object-related information into a form suitable for sending the object-related information to the third party (page 14 lines 3-11 and Fig. 5).

As to claim 23, Fera teaches the object-related information comprises a pointer to additional object-related information (Figs. 10, 12).

Claims 43-51 are rejected for the similar reasons as claims 1, 4, 7-9, 13, 17, 19 and 21.

### ***Inquire***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Cheung whose telephone number is (571)-272-6705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday from 10:00 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell, can be reached on (571) 272-6712.

Art Unit: 3621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceedings is assigned are as follows:

(571) 273-8300      (Official Communications; including After Final  
Communications labeled "BOX AF")  
(571) 273-6705      (Draft Communications)

Mary Cheung  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3621  
June 13, 2006

MARY D. CHEUNG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

